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**CIIRID - CONTINUITY 2025**

**Collaborative International Interuniversity Research, Innovation And Development Programme**

### **EVALUATION RUBRICS**

Please evaluate each project proposal using the criteria listed below. For each criterion, assign a score from **1 to 7**, where:

* **7 = Excellent**
* **6 = Very good**
* **5 = Good**
* **4 = Adequate**
* **3 = Weak**
* **2 = Very weak**
* **1 = Poor or not addressed**

Provide comments where necessary to justify your score or suggest improvements. Note that Descriptor 3: Results from the first stage will be evaluated internally by the coordination at the Direction of Research at PUCV and does not require a reviewer score.

**1. Research background of the scholars**

* Demonstrated experience in leading or participating in research projects.
* Number and quality of publications in peer-reviewed or indexed journals.
* Relevance of previous work to the current proposal.
* Experience in interdisciplinary or collaborative research.
* Evidence of successful grant acquisition or project completion.

**2. Quality of the proposal**

* Clarity and coherence of the problem statement and objectives.
* Relevance and potential impact of the research topic.
* Integration of interdisciplinary approaches.
* Realistic and well-structured methodology.
* Alignment with institutional or national research priorities.

**3. Results from the first stage (internally done at PUCV and AUT)**

* Completion and quality of interim or final reports.
* Achievement of proposed outcomes or milestones.
* Evidence of dissemination (e.g., publications, presentations).
* Justification of resources used in the first stage.
* Lessons learned and adjustments made for the next phase.

**4. Student participation**

* Number of students involved and their levels (undergraduate/graduate).
* Number of hours committed per student and clarity of their roles.
* Evidence of student learning or contribution (e.g., co-authorship, thesis).
* Provisions for mentoring or supervision.
* Inclusion of gender equity in student participation.

**5. Expected research outcomes and potential to gain external funding**

* Clarity and feasibility of expected outcomes (e.g., publications, prototypes).
* Identification of specific funding opportunities or grant programs.
* Strategy for scaling or continuing the project.
* Potential to create long-term research lines or networks.
* Innovation or originality that could attract competitive funding.